Performance Evaluation of Windows

By: Elie Alkhoury, M.Eng. (Building Science), P.Eng.

November 2005



Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology SCC & AAMA Accredited Laboratory

© CAN-BEST 2005

This Presentation

We will discuss:

- Window Standards
- Laboratory Testing
- Field Testing



This Presentation

- Window Standards
- Laboratory Testing
- Field Testing



CSA A440 Family of Standards

• A440-00 "Windows"

– A440.1 "Users Guide

A440.2 "Window Thermal Performance"
 A440.3 "Users Guide

• A440.4 "Window Installation"



A440-00 **Windows**

Special Publication A440.1-00 User Selection Guide to CSA Standard A440-00, Windows

CSA INTERNATION

CSA INTERNATIONAL

Special Publication A440.1-00 User Selection Guide to CSA Standard A440-00, Windows

Published in December 2000 by CSA International 178 Rexdale Boulevard Toronto, Ontario Canada M9W 1R3 *Registered trade-mark of Canadian Standards Association

Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology SCC & AAMA Accredited Laboratory



© CAN-BEST 2005



A440.2-98 **Energy Performance of Windows and Other Fenestration Systems**

Special Publication A440.3-98 User Guide to CSA Standard A440.2-98, Energy Performance of Windows and Other Fenestration Systems





Special Publication A440.3-98 User Guide to CSA Standard A440.2-98, Energy Performance of Windows and Other Fenestration Systems



ISSN 0383-2082 Published in March 1998 by Canadian Standards Association 178 Rexdale Boulevard Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada M9W 1R3





CSA A440-00

Main Sections are:

- Material Specifications
- Preservatives & Coatings
- Component Specifications
- Design Specifications



CSA A440-00 (Cont'd.)

- Glazing Methods
- Performance Requirements
- Test Methods
- Test Specimens



Performance Requirements

- Ease of Operation
- Air Tightness
- Water Tightness
- Wind Load Resistance
- Forced Entry Resistance
- Condensation Resistance
- Mechanical Tests



Ease of Operation

- Measure of forces required to operate window sash or locks:
- Initiate motion
- Maintain motion



Air Tightness

Rate of air infiltration and exfiltration in m³/hr.m at 75 Pa, ASTM E283

- A1: 2.79
- A2: 1.65
- A3: 0.55
- Fixed: 0.25











Water Tightness

Visual inspection for leakage under cyclic pressure application, ASTM E547

- Four cycles of 5 min ON, 1 min OFF
- B1 to B7 (150 to 700 Pa)





Copyright, 2003 © CAN-BEST © CAN-BEST 5002





Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology



Wind Load Resistance (Deflection)

Uniformly distributed load, ASTM E330
Sash L/125, Mullion L/175
C1 to C5 (±500 to 2000 Pa)



Wind Load Resistance (Blow Out)

Uniformly distributed load, ASTM E330

- No permanent damage
- C1 to C5 (±1500 to 5000 Pa)



Forced Entry Resistance

Levels F10 & F20 Grade 10 & 20 ASTM F548

- Dismantling from exterior
- Hand and tool manipulation
- Hardware load tests
- Repeat hand and tool manipulation





Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology



Condensation Resistance

Temperature Index (I), the lower of:

- Coldest frame surface temperature
- Coldest average of three glass temperatures
 @ 50 mm from sightline
- **90** Temperature Index @ -30/+20 °C
- 98 Temperature Factor @ -18/+21 °C
- 00 Temperature Index @ -30/+20 °C





Copyright, 2003 © CAN-BEST 5002 © CAN-BEST 5002







Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology



Mechanical Tests

- Screen Strength
 - Standard Duty,60 N (13.5 lb)
 - Heavy Duty, 330 N (75 lb)
- Blocked Operation (Sliding)
- Sash Strength (Projecting)
- Sash Pull Off (PVC, FRP)





© CAN-BEST 2005



Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology

This Presentation

- Window Standards
- Laboratory Testing
- Field Testing



Common Field Tests:

- Air Tightness
- Water Tightness
- Wind Load Resistance







1. 2. 2001



23. 7. 2003

© CAN-BEST 2005

Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology SCC & AAMA Accredited Laboratory

PORT THE HARMAN

al gran





S² © CAN-BEST 2005





CAN-BEST 2005





© CAN-BEST 2005

Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology





Why Windows Continue to Leak?

- Standards are for Standard Products
- Specifications need to be Job-Specific
- Inspectors need guidelines



More Info





May 2000 Published Twice Yearly For The Canadian Window Door & Fenestration Indust

The Success of Windows and Doors Can...

Hinge of Innovativ Hardwall

Salesmanship The Life Blood of Every Successful Company

Elie's Insig How Do Y & Choose A Window esting Lab? Manufacturer

Regular Contributing Writer to the Industry



CAMADIAN BUILDING ENVELOPE ELIE ALKHOURY, PRESIDENT 4-38 REGAN RD BRAMPTON, ON L7A 1C6

Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology SCC & AAMA Accredited Laboratory

3X

()]]



© CAN-BEST 2005

ELIE'S INSIGHT...

By James R. Scott, B.Sc. (Mechanical Engineering), P.Eng Research EngineerCanadian Building Envelope Science and Technology (CAN-BEST

How to INPROVE Forced Entry Resistance of Windows & Sliding Doors

n Canada, windows and sliding doors are required to meet the specified level of forced entry resistance, as mandated in national and provincial building codes. Testing requirements are outlined in their respective standards. In this article, I would like to provide some insight to the evaluation process. Most importantly, I will provide some general design guidelines to meeting these levels in a practical manner. The CSA A440-98 window standard specifies one level of performance, which requires passing all the tests outlined in ASTM F 588 Grade 10 with some minor variation. The CAN/CGSB-82.1-M89 sliding door standard also specifies two levels of performance: F1 and F2, which both require testing to ASTM F 842, Grades 10 and 20 respectively. In all cases, the ASTM test methods involve the following steps in sequence:

 Remove from any exposed screws, glazing beads, and any other members or mechanical fasteners that can be removed from the exterior within a time limit of 5 minutes, using some prescribed common hand tools.

Canadian Window & Door Manufacturer

 Perform hand manipulation from the exterior for a period of 5 or 10 minutes for Grade 10 and 20 respectively.

- Carry out tool manipulation for the same period of time as hand manipulation.
 Perform sash and hardware load tests in a specified sequence involving various load directions and magnitude, in an attempt to disengage the locking mechanism.
- Repeat the hand and tool manipulation tests as above. It should be noted that all of the above tests are to be performed without damaging the window/door intentionally by cutting through components, or breaking glass. The methods are



intended to establish a measure of resistance to assemblies subjected to attacks by "unskilled or opportunistic burglars." For applications requiring higher levels of security, security glazing is usually employed which offer higher resistance to force generated by skilled burglary attacks. For these applications other evaluation methods, which could be the subject of a future article, should be employed.

Preventing Access Of Lock From The Exterior: Your First Step To Securing A Pass Rating.

We have seen, in many instances, that by simply reducing clearances, controlling tolerances between window components and/or relocating a lock, a typical residential window would pass the evaluation process with flying colors. If such control cannot be achieved on the shop floor, then you may need to resort to some active means of shielding the hardware, in order to prevent exterior access. First of all, improving FER does not necessarily imply increased product cost. There are simple and effective >

November 2000 55







of CAN-BEST, operating an independent, state-of-the-art testing and research laboratory, accredited by SCC and AAMA He welcomes any comments or questions pertaining to window performance evaluation and testing.

You can reach Elie at: (905) 840-2014, by fax (905) 840-2847, or by e-mail at elie@can-best.com.

12 May 2004

Well, that's not exactly what I meant! Since my usual column has something to do with windows, I'll try to restrict my talk to just that. By now, I presume some of you window suppliers have had your fair share of customers complaining about window condensation and frosting during this would be easily and readily dealt with, some unfortunately could escalate unnecessarily to the detriment of the window manufacturer. some unfortunately could escalate

And the blame I think rests on the window supplier's first reaction to their customer's complaint. The first response is usually "the window is fine, but the house is too humid"... too many plants... lots of cooking and showers... too cold of a spell, etc. All that may be perfectly true, but how do you expect to get paid if all the consumer wanted was to have a "better" window, a window that's not drafty and that doesn't condense itself to a puddle of water every morning. Here, the old dadge " a better educated customer is a better consumer" comes to the rescue. Better customer education is needed, and most significantly at the time of sale and not at the time of complaint.

Having a higher Temperature Index for a window is perhaps the most significant of three main variables that, if maximized, it would contribute greatly towards reducing the potential for surface condensation. The second variable would be to control window installation, while the third is to moderate the interior environmental conditions. Therefore, whenever you're faced with a complaint, just remember that two out of these three variables are actually under your own control, not your customer's. So, before we rush to blame the customer for jacking up that humidiffer, let's have a deeper look at the real question, the one that you're expected to have answers for: What's the window's Temperature Index? i.e. under what conditions do you expect your window to operate satisfactorily and near-condensation-free?

The Temperature Index – Referred to as "I Value", the Temperature Index is the condensation resistance rating of the CSA A440 Window Standard. The purpose of this rating is to provide a comparative means of evaluating windows under the same set of environmental and installation conditions. It does not relate to actual field installations or to its environmental conditions.

The Temperature index (1) is derived by laboratory testing of an actual window under controlled exterior and interior environmental conditions. It is directly proportional to the window's interior surface temperature relative to the temperature difference between the interior and exterior. In other words, the higher I value is, the higher the window's potential resistance to condensation would be. It's a dimensionless number that could theoretically range from 0 to 100. While the minimum Temperature Index allowable by the A440 Standard is 140, it is quite possible to achieve up to 180 or more in a specially designed window for that demanding application where moisture condensation would not be tolerated,

Canadian Window & Door Manufacture



© CAN-BEST 2005

Standards Council of Canada



Conseil canadien des normes

Certificate of accreditation

Certificat d'accréditation

Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology **CAN-BEST TESTING LABORATORY**

38 Regan Road, Unit 4, Brampton, Ontario

having been assessed under the authority of the Standards Council of Canada Act and found to conform with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 and the conditions established by the SCC is hereby recognized as an

ACCREDITED TESTING LABORATORY

for specific tests or types of tests listed in the scope of accreditation approved by the Standards Council of Canada.

ayant été soumis à une évaluation selon la Loi sur le Conseil canadien des normes et ayant été trouvé conforme aux prescriptions d'ISO/CEI 17025 et aux conditions établies par le CCN est de fait reconnu comme étant un

LABORATOIRE D'ESSAIS ACCRÉDITÉ

pour les essais ou types d'essais déterminés inscrits dans la portée d'accréditation approuvée par le Conseil canadien des normes.



Accreditation date: Date d'accréditation : 1995-11-27

Expiry date: Délivré le : 2002-10-11 Date d'expiration : 2005-11-27

222

Canada

Chair (SCC) / Président

Accredited Laboratory No .: Numéro de laboratoire accrédité :

Issued on:

Assessment performed according to CAN-P-4 (ISONEC 17025) and the conditions of the PALCAN Handbook D02.6. Laboratories that conform with the requirements of ISONEC 17025 operate a Caulity Management System for testing and calibration activities that meets the equivalences of EOD 0001:1004 when designing/builveloging how methods, and/d volveloping ister programs that comber is usinatial and non-tainative testing and according to the standard methods are used. The scope of accorditation is available for in the according taboratory of CC. To volve the walket of the certificate, places are the isining if According Laboratories on www.scc.ex.

Évaluation effectuée contormément du CAN-P-4 (ISO/CEI 17025) et aux conditions du Guide PALCAN D82.6. Pour concevoir et developper des méthodes nouvelles et ditabilir des programmes d'assais fondés sur des méthodes d'assai et d'atalemage normalisées et non memalisée, les labaraties respectant la norme ISO/CEI 17026 oft, dans leur essais et la cui d'atalemage normalisées per a constaite la qualité conforme aux exigence d'ISO 6001: 1044, et à celles rt/SD 6002 dans le souit cas des méthodes normalisées. Pour constaite les pointe d'aucéditations, subsess ret au la altoration accelerations, ou cal cui et la présent configure. ros accrédités à l'adresse v

This certificate is the property of the Standards Council of Canada (SCC) and must be returned on request; reproduction is prohibited except on written approval of the SCC. Ce certificat est la propriété du Conseil canadien des normes (CCN) et doit lui être remis sur demande; toute reproduction est interdite sans l'autorisation écrite du CCN.





Thank You



Canadian Building Envelope Science and Technology SCC & AAMA Accredited Laboratory

© CAN-BEST 2005